# AMG-16 HLA Evolution Process Update

17 December 1996

### **Topics**

- Revisit 5 step HLA evolution process based on discussions at AMG-15
  - add designation of responsibilities and approval authority

#### **Background: Basis for HLA Evolution**

- Changes/enhancements should be based on issues raised by users of HLA
- Changes need to be evaluated in terms of benefits and impacts on the HLA user community
- AMG is the focus for evolution including identifying issues, evaluating options for addressing the issues, and approving changes
- As other programs begin implementation of HLA, they will be represented in the AMG process

### Background: Current Issue Areas Related to HLA Evolution

- Issues raised during HLA baseline development
  - API
  - Federation Management
  - Time Management
  - Data Distribution Management
  - Multiple Inheritance in OMT
  - Added information to support Federation Development Process

### Background: TST Support to HLA Evolution Process

- TST members will be designated as focal points for key areas, they will form the core of the TST, and the TST will be the vehicle for integration across areas; current focal points are:
  - Bob Lutz: OM
  - Reed Little: IF Spec (API)
  - Richard Fujimoto: TM
  - Katherine Morse: DDM
  - Judith Dahmann: Federation Management (FM)
  - Phil Zimmerman: Security
- A series of technical exchanges in key areas have been held to begin to develop an experience base to support HLA evolution

#### Regular HLA Checkpoints

- The six month cycles discussed as part of HLA transition will serve as routine checkpoints in the HLA process
- One month prior to each checkpoint
  - progress of issue investigations will be checked
  - proposed changes in architecture and impact on specification will be evaluated
  - draft changes in specifications will be prepared for AMG review
- Focal points will be responsible for drafting and integrating changes across the specifications
- Checkpoints also provide timing for more marginal changes in specifications (e.g. text updates, deleting parameters)
  - Specs have comment forms; these will be maintained by DMSO and coordinated via the TST

First checkpoint is February 1997

#### **Five Step Process Reviewed**

- Step 1
  - an AMG member expresses a need for a capability
- Step 2
  - a summary issue paper and investigation plan is developed
- Step 3
  - plan is executed and AMG monitors progress
- Step 4
  - recommended changes to HLA spec are drafted
- Step 5
  - AMG reviews recommended changes

#### **Step 1: Program Need**

- Process begins with a need identified by an AMG member
- Program provides a description of what its specific requirements are and why the HLA does not meet those requirements
  - program may provide ideas of ways to evolve HLA to meet this need

#### DMSO/TST

- works with the program to review the need to ensure that current HLA capabilities are not adequate
- assess the extent to which this need applies to other programs implementing HLA

Where the need cannot be met by the HLA and is one which applies to other users of the HLA, then proceed to next step---->

Decision to take to AMG is made by AMG member and DMSO collaboratively, based on results of AMG technical exchanges where appropriate

## Step 2: General Issue Paper and Investigation Plan

- DMSO TST drafts a general issue paper which describes the issue in a way that goes beyond the initiating program requirement
  - may require bringing in other technical expertise in the area and coordinating with other programs with similar applications
- An issue team (IPT-like) is formed with balanced representation of AMG programs to investigate the issue and identify options for addressing the issue
  - include a TST focal point who will be responsible for coordinating activities in this area with the other HLA investigations
- Investigation plan will identify timelines in conjunction with HLA checkpoints, to allow for synchronization of resolution of ongoing issues in a coordinated fashion

Once issue is approved, and plan is in place, proceed to next step---->

AMG reviews paper and plan, and approves for execution

#### **Step 3: Plan Execution**

- The issue team will proceed on the schedule laid out in the investigation plan
- The AMG will be kept apprised of progress
  - Important for efficient, yet broad based HLA evolution
- As the investigation proceeds
  - Issue may become better understood and the paper may be updated
  - The team may recommend the issue be dropped based on the results of the investigation
  - New issues may be identified which would be addressed in their own right

The investigation may lead to a recommended change in the HLA specifications, and then process proceeds to the next step--->

Issue team proceeds, shares technical progress at AMG technical exchanges, and provides regular updates to the AMG at AMG meetings, including previews of prospective specification changes

### Step 4: Draft Recommended Changes to HLA Specification

- The team will draft recommended changes to the HLA specifications
- The TST focal point will coordinate these changes and integrate results into the AMG recommended changes for consideration at the next HLA checkpoint

Recommended changes go to the AMG for review and consideration, the next step in the process ---->

- Issue team (made up of representatives of AMG and other affected HLA components) is responsible for formulating recommended draft changes in specification
- TST responsible for drafting, coordinating and integrating changes into draft specification updates

## Step 5: AMG Reviews Recommended Changes

- The AMG reviews the proposed changes
- The benefits of the changes are assessed along with the costs of making the change
- The changes are then
  - approved for immediate incorporation,
  - approved for incorporation at a later checkpoint
  - held for later consideration,
  - sent back for further investigation, or
  - disapproved

Recommended changes are integrated into a revision in the specifications->

- AMG reviews/approves any changes